

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/673,905	09/29/2003	Scott D. Willingham	5797-00100 1984		
35690	7590 12/14/2006		EXAMINER		
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C. 700 LAVACA, SUITE 800			LEE, SIU M		
AUSTIN, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/673,905	<b>,</b>	WILLINGHAM, SCOTT D.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Siu M. Lee		2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 29 September 20	<u>003</u> .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is no	n-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-22</u> is/are rejected.							
•	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s)are subject to restriction	and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/15/2006., 3/28/2005  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li (US 6,760,577 B2).
  - (1) Regarding claims 1, 18 and 22:

Li discloses a transceiver comprising:

an oscillator circuit (reference oscillator (Ref) and the first fractional phase-lock loop and the second fractional phase-lock loop in figure 3, column 5, lines 14-17 and 37-40) configured to generate a calibration tone (pilot tone, column 5, lines 37-40) and a phase locked loop (PLL) reference signal (first fractional phase-lock loop in figure 3, column 5, lines 14-17);

a phase locked loop circuit (first fractional phase-lock loop contains the main VOC in figure 3, column 5, lines 14-17) configured to generate a PLL output signal (output signal from the first fractional phase-lock loop) that is phase locked in relation to the PLL reference signal (column 5, lines 14-17);

Art Unit: 2611

a quadrature generator (device (90° / 0°) in figure 3) configured to generate quadrature mixer local oscillator (LO) signals derived from the PLL output signal (device (90° / 0°) in figure 3 take the output of the first fractional phase-lock loop and output a P1 and P2 signal to the mixers MR1 and MR2 respectively); and

an in-phase/quadrature (IQ) mixer configured to mix the calibration tone (pilot tone) with the quadrature mixer LO signals (the mixers MR1 and MR2 mixes with a quadrature local oscillator frequency, column 2, lines 17-28).

### (2) Regarding claim 2:

Li discloses a receiver circuit wherein the oscillator circuit includes an oscillator configured to generate an oscillator signal (the main VCO in the first fractional phase-lock loop in figure 3 is configured to generate an oscillator signal, column 16-17).

# (3) Regarding claim 13:

Li discloses a receiver wherein the IQ mixer (MR1 and MR2 in figure 3) generates an in-phase (I) signal (I<sub>R</sub> in figure 3) and a quadrature (Q) signal (Q<sub>R</sub> in figure 3) that are conveyed through an I channel and a Q channel, respectively, for processing by a baseband circuit ((column 1, lines 45-48).

### (4) Regarding claim 20:

Li discloses a method further comprising dividing a frequency of an oscillator signal generated by the oscillator (in the first fractional phase-lock loop in figure 3, the +N1 block divide the Ref signal by N1 to generate the PLL reference signal) by a first amount (N1) to generate the PLL reference signal (output from the first fractional phase-lock loop).

Art Unit: 2611

# (5) Regarding claim 21:

Li discloses a method further comprising dividing the frequency of the oscillator signal by a second amount to generate the calibration tone (pilot tone) (in the second fractional phase-lock loop in figure 3, the ÷N2 block divide the Ref signal by N2 to generate the PLL pilot tone by the second fractional phase-lock loop)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 5, 7-9, 11-12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US 6,760,577 B2) in view of Wu et al. (US 6,968,167 B1).
  - (1) Regarding claim 3 and 19:

Li discloses a receiver circuit wherein during a calibration mode of operation, the oscillator is configured to operate near a particular frequency (pilot tone frequency, column 5, lines 37-40).

Li fails to disclose the oscillator is operating in an open mode.

However, Wu et al. discloses a local oscillator generator (LO generator 14 in figure 2) that divides the reference signal and operates in an open loop mode (the reference frequency is from the crystal oscillator 38 and pass through a programmable divider DIVIDE/L 40 to generate the signal, column 9, lines 19-23).

Art Unit: 2611

It is desirable that during a calibration mode of operation the oscillator is configured to operate in an open loop mode because it requires less discrete components and be able to integrate into a single integrated circuit (column 1, lines 55-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to replace the second phase-lock loop circuit of Li that generate the pilot signal with the signal output from the DIVIDE/L 40 in We et al. for the pilot tone to reduce the size of the system.

### (2) Regarding claim 4:

Li and Wu et al. disclose all of the subject matter as discussed in claim 3 but fail to explicitly discloses the reference oscillator (Ref in figure 3) is a voltage controlled oscillator.

However, Li discloses a main voltage controlled oscillator (main VCO in figure 3) in the first fractional phase-lock loop that can generate an oscillator signal.

It is well known in the art that a voltage controlled oscillator can generate an oscillator signal and be used to provide a reference oscillating signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to replace the reference signal oscillator (Ref in figure 3) with the main voltage controlled oscillator in the first fractional phase lock loop.

#### (3) Regarding claim 5:

Li further discloses a receiver circuit wherein the oscillator circuit includes a first divider circuit (in the first fractional phase-lock loop in figure 3, the ÷N1 block divide the Ref signal by N1 to generate the PLL reference signal) coupled to divide a frequency of

Art Unit: 2611

the oscillator signal (reference frequency (Ref), column 5, lines 14-17) by a first amount (N1) to generate the PLL reference signal.

### (4) Regarding claim 7:

Wu et al. further discloses a second divider circuit (programmable divider DIVIDE/L 40 in figure 2, column 9, lines 19-23) coupled to divide the frequency of the oscillator signal by a second amount (L) to generate the calibration tone.

# (5) Regarding claim 8:

Wu further discloses the second divider circuit (programmable divider 40 in figure 2) is a programmable divider (divider 40 is programmable by the controller, column 8, line 7)

## (6) Regarding claim 9:

Li discloses the receiver circuit further comprising a first switch (SW1 in figure 3) coupled (through coupler C1) to selectively provide the calibration tone to the IQ mixer (Mr1 and MR2 in figure 3) during the calibration mode of operation (column 5, lines 38-40).

# (7) Regarding claim 11:

Li discloses the receiver circuit wherein the first switch is further coupled to selectively (coupler C2 in figure 3) provide a receiver RF input signal to the IQ mixer (MT1 and MT2) during another mode of operation (column 5, lines 53-56).

# (8) Regarding claim 12:

Li fails to disclose the receiver circuit further comprising an amplifier coupled to amplify the receiver RF input signal prior to mixing in the IQ mixer.

Art Unit: 2611

However, Wu et al. discloses a receiver circuit further comprising an amplifier (amplifier LNA 22 in figure 2) coupled to amplify the receiver RF input signal prior to mixing in the IQ mixer (mixer 24 in figure 2, column 8, lines 20-24 and 31-33).

It is desirable to have the receiver circuit further comprising an amplifier coupled to amplify the receiver RF input signal prior to mixing in the IQ mixer because the amplifier provides high gain with good noise figure performance and can be integrated into a single chip transceiver (column 8, lines 20-22, column 11, lines 47-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teaching of Wu et al. with the system of Li to reduce the size and power consumption of the system.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US 6,760,577 B2) in view of Wu et al. (US 6,968,167 B1) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Huscroft et al. (5,512,860).

Li and Wu et al. discloses all the subject matter as discussed in claim 5 except fail to disclose wherein the first divider circuit is a power of two ripple divider.

However, Huscroft discloses a power of two ripple divider (ripple divider 29 in figure 2, column 6, lines 23-25).

It is desirable to use the power of two ripple divider for the first divider because it allows the use of a low cost ref oscillator to generate the reference signal (column 8, lines 39-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

Application/Control Number: 10/673,905 Page 8

Art Unit: 2611

the time of invention to replace the first divider of Li and Wu by the ripple divider of Huscroft to reduce the production cost of the system.

6. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US 6,760,577 B2) in view of Liu (US 2003/0181187 A1).

### (1) Regarding claim 14:

Li discloses all the subject matter as discussed in claim 13 except the receiver circuit further comprising a calibration subsystem coupled to receive representations of the in-phase (I) signal and the quadrature (Q) signal, wherein the calibration subsystem is configured to determine one or more correction parameters for canceling a residual image signal.

However, Liu discloses an image-rejection I/Q demodulator to be used in a receiver that comprises a calibration subsystem (I/S detector 22 in figure 2 and a block diagram of the Image/Signal Ratio it can enhance Detector in figure 3) coupled to receive representations of the in-phase (I) signal (I in figure 2) and the quadrature (Q) signal (Q in figure 2), wherein the calibration subsystem is configured to determine one or more correction parameters for canceling a residual image signal (amplitude control output 22c of the I/S detector and the phase control input 22d of the I/S detector I figure 3, paragraph 0033, lines 23-27).

It is desirable for the receiver circuit further comprising a calibration subsystem coupled to receive representations of the in-phase (I) signal and the quadrature (Q) signal, wherein the calibration subsystem is configured to determine one or more

Art Unit: 2611

correction parameters for canceling a residual image signal because it enhances the image-rejection performance of digital communication receivers (paragraph 0017, lines 2-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teaching of Liu with the system of Li to improve the performance of the receiver.

(2) Regarding claim 15:

Liu further discloses an analog-to-digital converter coupled to convert the I and Q signals generated by the IQ mixer to digital signals (A/D converter 211 and 212 in figure 3, paragraph 0033, lines 7-9).

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lovelace et al. (US 6,137,999) discloses an image reject transceiver and method of rejecting an image. Shi et al. (US 2003/0138034 A1) discloses direct conversion RF transceiver with automatic frequency control.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Siu M. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 270-1083. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-4:00 with every other Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,905 Page 10

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Siu M. Lee 11/30/2006

> CHIEN M. FAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER